

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/729,696 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) BOROVOY ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Julie Lieu </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2612 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/729,696	Applicant(s) BOROVOY ET AL.	Examiner Julie Lieu	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2612 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2612	
Application No. 10/729,696	Applicant(s) BOROVOY ET AL.						
Examiner Julie Lieu	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 2612 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2612					
Art Unit 2612							

All Participants:

(1) Julie Lieu.

(2) David Feigenbaum.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal

Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

all claims

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant mistakenly filed the old set of claims (6/17/09) thinking they are current. However, those claims were amended and/or canceled in the previous examiner's amendment dated 9/24/09. Examiner contacted Applicant to discuss this issue and it was agreed upon by Applicant that the filed set of claims (filed 11/24/09) is indeed the wrong set of claims. Applicant also agreed that the claims in the previous examiner's amendment should be the current and final set of claims. Upon reviewing the filed IDS, if no prior art is found, and examiner's amendment will be made to correct this problem and put the case in condition for allowance.